1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MOLLEN
3	HIF206180
4	
5	
6	BACKGROUND ON RENEWABLE IDENTIFICATION
7	NUMBERS UNDER THE RENEWABLE FUEL STANDARD
8	WEDNESDAY, JULY 25, 2018
9	House of Representatives
10	Subcommittee on Environment
11	Committee on Energy and Commerce
12	Washington, D.C.
13	
14	
15	
16	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 9:15 a.m., in
17	Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. John Shimkus
18	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
19	Members present: Representatives Shimkus, McKinley, Harper,
20	Olson, Flores, Hudson, Walberg, Carter, Duncan, Walden (ex
21	officio), Tonko, Ruiz, Peters, McNerney, Cardenas, Pallone (ex
22	officio), and Loebsack.
23	Staff present: Samantha Bopp, Staff Assistant; Kelly
24	Collins, Staff Assistant; Jerry Couri, Chief Environmental
25	Advisor; Wyatt Ellertson, Research Associate,

<pre>Energy/Environment; Margaret Tucker Fogarty, Staff Assistant;</pre>
Adam Fromm, Director of Outreach and Coalitions; Jordan Haverly,
Policy Coordinator, Environment; Mary Martin, Deputy Chief
Counsel, Energy & Environment; Sarah Matthews, Press Secretary,
Energy & Environment; Drew McDowell, Executive Assistant; Brannon
Rains, Staff Assistant; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant;
Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Everett Winnick,
Director of Information Technology; Jean Fruci, Minority Energy
and Environment Policy Advisor; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority
Deputy Staff Director and Chief Health Advisor; Caitlin Haberman,
Minority Professional Staff Member; Rick Kessler, Minority Senior
Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and Environment; Alexander
Ratner, Minority Policy Analyst; and C.J. Young, Minority Press
Secretary.

40 Mr. Shimkus. I'd like to call the Subcommittee on the Environment hearing to order and recognize myself for five minutes 41 42 for an opening statement. 43 And, really, I start going through some prepared text, I 44 want to allow the interested parties that are -- oh, is that 45 Whitfield there? Former member of the committee. And I see 46 Kenny Hulshof, former member of the House. Good buddy of mine. 47 So a lot of other friends out there. To interest groups, 48 we plan to legislate and we are about ready to go on an August 49 break and I would encourage you to educate, especially members 50 of the subcommittee, take the time during the break and visit with them as we try to move forward. 51 52 To my colleagues on both sides, I would encourage you to 53 do your homework on your free time so that when we come back we 54 can really have a good discussion. I would like to have a really open process as we go through 55 56 -- actually put down original text and then go through some -a markup that wouldn't be preordained but we would work through 57 58 some of the processes. 59 So that's just an editorial comment, and then I will start 60 with my prepared opening statement. 61 Today's hearing continues this subcommittee's ongoing efforts to examine the future of our nation's transportation 62 One of the most significant programs that influences 63 64 transportation fuel in America is the Renewable Fuel

Standard, today's topic of discussion.

More specifically, the focus on this hearing is to provide members the opportunity to better understand Renewable

Identification Numbers, frequently referred to as RINs.

RINs are the main component of the Renewable Fuel Standard's tradable credit system and serve as its compliance mechanism.

RINs are attached to renewable fuel gallons at the time of production and they are separated when that renewable fuel is blended into the nation's nonrenewable fuel supply, at which time the blending party can use the RINs to comply with the RFS or they can trade the RINs to another party.

Obligated parties, typically refineries, must submit RINs to the EPA on a yearly basis to demonstrate compliance with their annual renewable fuel obligations. So that's a mouthful.

The purpose of today's hearing is very much educational in nature and is intended to promote greater understanding of how RINs fit into the overall Renewable Fuel Standard.

In order to chart a legislative path forward, it is critical that the subcommittee first gather the facts and comprehend the various complexities of the RFS program.

With an emphasis on getting clear objective information and answers about RINs, we have invited a panel of witnesses who can provide independent impartial testimony based on their extensive and varied experience working with RINs.

Witnesses that are joining us today include Brent Yacobucci, the research manager for the Energy and Minerals Section of the Congressional Research Service, who has analyzed and written on the RFS since the program's inception; Sandra Dunphy, the director of Energy Compliance at Weaver Tidwell LLP and a nationally recognized RINs expert who provides RFS-related services to a diverse range of clients; Paul Niznik, an energy consultant with Argus Media who specializes in RINs and will help demystify the factors affecting RINs prices -- demystify; Dr. Gabriel Lade, an assistant professor of Economics at Iowa State University who has authored multiple academic publications on the RFS, which have been cited by a wide range of RFS-related stakeholders and industries; and Corey Lavinsky, the director of Global Biofuels with Standard and Poor's, who will explain how the RIN market works in comparison to other commodity and environmental markets.

I'd like to thank these witnesses for their attendance and participation and I look forward to hearing their perspectives on a number of subjects, such as what factors are influencing the RINs market, how the current RINs system impacts various types of stakeholders including consumers, and the prevalence and circumstances of RIN fraud.

While understanding that the topic of this morning's hearing can bring about strong viewpoints, my hope and intent is that this hearing will result in a constructive and

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

115	productive dialogue that will further this subcommittee's effort
116	to improve the nation's transportation fuel policies.
117	And with that, I have 40 seconds remaining. Anybody wishing
118	for that time? Seeing none, I'll yield back the balance of my
119	time and yield to my friend the ranking member of the subcommittee,
120	Mr. Tonko, for five minutes.
121	[The prepared statement of Mr. Shimkus follows:]
122	

124 Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you to our 125 witnesses for joining us this morning. This is the latest in this subcommittee's series of hearings 126 127 on our nation's use of transportation fuels and the renewable 128 fuel standard program. 129 Today, we will examine renewable identification numbers, 130 or RINs. As you know, RINs are unique 38-digit codes given to 131 each gallon of biofuel produced or imported into the United 132 States. 133 These credits are used to comply with the RFS. At the end 134 of each year each obligated party must haven RINs to demonstrate it has meet its renewable volume obligation. 135 136 RFS compliance can be achieved in two ways -- either by 137 purchasing the required volume of biofuel and blending it into 138 the fuel supply to obtains RINs directly or by purchasing the required number of RINs on the open market. 139 140 The debate over the future of the RFS cannot separated from 141 the performance of the RIN marketplace. Earlier this Congress, 142 we received testimony on RIN price volatility and heard some 143 stakeholders support for a RIN price cap. 144 According to press reports, these have been among the issues 145 considered by members working on RFS reform, and while the RIN market certainly has had price spikes, I do not think we should 146 147 ignore the political figure's ability to impact market stability.

With each White House meeting or EPA announcement, the RIN

149 market can be put into flux. Over the years, the RFS has 150 experienced administrative issues in both Republican and 151 Democratic administrations such as missed RVO deadlines that have 152 impacted its ability to provide for that market certainty. 153 The latest example, which I have voiced my concerns about 154 in the past, is former EPA Administrator Pruitt's excessive use 155 of small refinery hardship exemptions. 156 In the past two years, nearly 50 exemptions, representing 157 approximately \$2.25 billion RINs worth of obligation have been 158 granted in virtual secrecy. 159 We still do not fully know whether these exemptions were 160 justified, whether those obligations were reallocated to larger 161 refiners, or how these waivers may have impacted RIN prices in 162 the biofuels market. 163 Secret exemptions are not good for the sake of a healthy market and the lack of transparency is extremely troubling, 164 165 although it is reflective of standard operating procedures within 166 the RIN marketplace. 167 While RIN transactions are reported to EPA's moderated 168 transaction system, there are legitimate concerns that the market 169 lacks meaningful transparency. 170 Very little information on RIN transactions is made public and the market is not subject to scrutiny by regulators similar 171 172 to other commodity markets.

This has led to numerous reported issues of RIN fraud in

174 the past and leaves the door open for potential market 175 manipulation. Publicly available data may not be sufficient to 176 even know if market manipulation is indeed occurring. 177 a problem. 178 I know members of this committee hold a wide range of views 179 But regardless of your position, I hope that we can 180 agree that there should be sufficient transparency and oversight 181 of this marketplace in order to ensure that it is functioning 182 and functioning properly. Thank you again for being here. I look forward to the 183 184 discussion. And with that, Mr. Chair, I yield back. 185 186 Mr. Shimkus. Gentleman yields back his time. 187 The chair now recognizes the chairman of the full committee, Chairman Walden, for five minutes. 188 189 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for holding 190 yet another hearing on this overall topic. We appreciate it. 191 This is fifth hearing on the future of transportation fuels 192 and, as we have heard, this morning's topic is Renewable 193 Identification Numbers known as RINs. 194 And I would argue if we gave a test about what RINs are and 195 how the market works, perhaps within this room but I am sure 196 outside of this room, even our most learned members might not 197 test so well.

So that's why we are having this hearing. It's not to pit

one side against the other or rip off Band-Aids or anything else.

It is to learn, to better inform our work so that we can address the issues before this committee.

So I won't restate how RINs works. We have done that already. But there are nuances. This does affect markets and therefore it affects consumers, and we are going to put consumers first.

So this hearing is intended to be educational, and for those of you of a certain vintage, some of you may remember something called encyclopedias like World Book or Britannica. There is people here who are nodding and people who are scratching and others are going right to Google.

This hearing will help the Environment Subcommittee better fill out it's set of books on transportation renewable fuels more generally.

Why? Well, as I've said before, it is my desire to move legislation that will pave the future of transportation fuels into the next decades in the United States and in order for this to happen it's important that we understand what RINs are and how they fit into the renewable fuel standard, a program that in 2017 spurred the production of 15.8 billion gallons of ethanol and 1.6 billion gallons of biodiesel in the United States.

That said, this hearing is not meant to drive a preordained policy or to settle scores. It is intended to help members understand the program so they can

224 make informed decisions when the time comes for us to legislate. 225 So I want to thank our witnesses for being here. 226 appreciate it. You've been asked to join us not just for your extensive knowledge base on the complicated 227 228 matter but also for your demonstrated ability to be both 229 informative and objective when it comes to communicating the 230 fundamental elements of the RINs program. 231 So I look forward to hearing your testimony today. And so 232 I want to thank the chairman and other members we will proceed. 233 of this committee who have been working overtime on the broad 234 set of issues related to renewable fuels and how this committee 235 can move forward. 236 So we will move forward. Hearings are part of doing our 237 due diligence in business and we won't be talked out of them and 238 we are here today to hear from all of you. 239 So thank you very much, and with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield 240 back. 241 [The prepared statement of Mr. Walden follows:] 2.42 243 *********INSERT 2*******

244 Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time. The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full 245 246 committee, Congressman Pallone from New Jersey, for five minutes. 247 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 248 Today we are here to talk about the renewable identification 249 number, or RIN. The RIN market provides a mechanism to bridge 250 the gap between biofuel supply and demand created by the renewable 251 fuel standard mandate. 252 And the market allows parties blending more than their 253 required gallons of biofuel to sell their excess RINs to parties 254 that blend less than their require gallons. 255 When the market functions properly, it lowers the cost of 256 compliance for all participants in the renewable fuels standards 257 program. But it must be fair, transparent, and well regulated. 258 It should also primarily serve the interests of RFS 259 participants, not the interests of speculators. Well, that's 260 how things are supposed to work. But today, they are not working 261 and I believe much of the recent vocality in the RIN market can 262 be attributed to the way the Trump administration has operated 263 the RFS program. 264 Press reports of the on again off again RFS negotiations 265 conducted by the White House over the past year or so have driven RIN prices up and down, depending upon the headline of the day. 266 267 No new RINs were created or removed from the market in these 268 meetings and no changes to the program were made if the price

269 fluctuated enough to create losses or gains for everyone involved 270 in the program over the costs of the last year -- over the course 271 of the last year. 272 Now, former EPA Administrator Pruitt also nearly doubled 273 the number of small refinery waivers that were granted last year, which lowered the price of RINs. It appears that some of these 274 275 waivers were granted to refineries not experiencing financial 276 hardship which is required under the law. 277 If that's the case, then former Administrator Pruitt 278 intentionally misused this waiver authority to manipulate the 279 RIN market and undermine the RFS, and the lack of transparency and accountability in the waiver program raises serious questions 280 281 about how this program is being managed. 282 Market mechanisms can work well. They can lower compliance 283 costs as long as they are not manipulated, which is what I fear is happening under this administration. 284 285 And I guess we will find out more about it today. I don't 286 know if anybody else wants the time. Otherwise, I will yield 287 back, Mr. Chairman. 288 Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time. 289 We now conclude with members' opening statements. The chair 290 would like to remind members that pursuant to committee rules, 291 all members' opening statements will be made part of the record. We appreciate you all being here today. I've kind of done 292

the initial formal introduction in my opening statement. So I'll

just turn to you. Your full statements are submitted for the record. You'll have five minutes.

Again, this is very -- an educational process. So I am not going to be Attila the Hun with the gavel. But as you see the clock tick then kind of know that we should get to the end so that we can get to members' questions.

So with that, I'd like to recognize Mr. Brent Yacobucci from CRS for five minutes.

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

15 302 STATEMENTS OF BRENT YACOBUCCI, ENERGY AND MINERALS MANAGER, 303 CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE; SANDRA DUNPHY, DIRECTOR, ENERGY 304 COMPLIANCE SERVICES, WEAVER AND TIDWELL, LLP; PAUL NIZNIK, SENIOR 305 CONSULTANT, ARGUS MEDIA INCORPORATED; DR. GABRIEL E. LADE, 306 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF ECONOMICS, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY; COREY LAVINSKY, DIRECTOR OF GLOBAL BIOFUELS, S&P GLOBAL PLATTS 307 308 ANALYTICS 309 310 STATEMENT OF BRENT YACOBUCCI Mr. Yacobucci. Good morning, Chairman Shimkus, Ranking 311 312 Member Tonko, and members of the subcommittee. 313 My name is Brent Yacobucci. I am the energy and mineral section research manager for the Congressional Research Service. 314 315 Congressional guidelines on objectivity and nonpartisanship 316 require that I confine my testimony to technical, professional,

and non-advocate aspects of matters under consideration and that I limit myself to areas within my knowledge and expertise.

Although I can discuss policy options and potential ramifications, the service does not take a position on pending or proposed legislation.

I've been with CRS for 19 years, providing analysis on alternative fuels, automotive design, and transportation-related provisions of the Clean Air Act.

I have a Bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology and a Master's degree in

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

public policy from the George Washington University.

I am a member of the Society of Mechanical Engineers, the Society of Automotive Engineers, and the Society of Petroleum Engineers, although today I am representing only CRS.

The federal Renewable Fuel Standard was established by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and expanded in 2007 by the Energy Independence and Security Act.

The RFS requires the use of renewable biofuels in transportation. For 2018, the mandate is, roughly, 19.3 billion gallons.

Within the larger mandate, there are sub-mandates for advanced biofuels including biomass-based diesel and cellulosic biofuels.

The RFS is a market-based program in which obligated parties, typically refiners, must submit credits to cover their obligations. These credits, renewable identification numbers, or RINs, can be bought or sold like other commodities.

In general, for each gallon of renewable fuel produced, one RIN is generated. A RIN is a 38-character number issued by the biofuel producer or importer at the point of production or import.

Each qualifying gallon has its own unique RIN. The characters signify various attributes to the batch of fuel, including the decode, which identifies the category of fuel and which part of the RFS that fuel satisfies -- D3 for cellulosic biofuel, D4 for bio-based diesel, D5 for advanced biofuels, and

D6 for unspecified biofuel -- typically, corn-based ethanol.

From the beginning of the RFS program there will be concerns with RIN generation and the RIN market, in part to address concerns over errors, inaccuracies, and potential fraud, when EPA finalizes rules for the RFS in 2007, sometimes referred to as the RFS 2, EPA also established a new EPA-moderated transaction system, or EMTS.

EPA maintains that obligated parties must exercise due diligence, and under their buyer beware system, those purchasing RINs must certify the validity of those RINs on their own.

They are generally responsible for any invalid RINs they pass on to others or submit to EPA for compliance. At times, RIN prices have been volatile. Most RINs are initially bought and sold through private contracts. But in the spot market for RINs in 2013 spot prices for conventional ethanol RINs -- the D6 RINs -- rose dramatically before dropping even more rapidly.

Stakeholders have identified various factors as potentially causing the price increase, including whether submission amounts of ethanol can be blended into gasoline to meet the RFS mandates and the extent to which non-obligated parties are speculating in RIN markets.

Further, some stakeholders have suggested that a few actors could be actively working to manipulate those RIN markets.

Concerns have been raised about the volatility of RIN prices on the secondary market and the potential effects on the costs

377 faced by gasoline blenders and oil refiners, particularly those refiners without the infrastructure to blend, as well as the 378 379 effects on consumer fuel prices. 380 The complex interactions among the prices for various 381 commodities makes such analysis difficult. Stakeholders who 382 propose various options to address some of these concerns 383 including limiting the participation of non-obligated parties 384 in the markets and in the EMTS, establishing a price cap for RINs, 385 requiring more public real-time reporting for RIN training data, 386 and granting the Commodity Futures Trading Commission authority 387 to regulate the RIN market similarly to other agricultural commodities. 388 389 Each of these options could affect agricultural and biofuel 390 producers, gasoline suppliers, blenders, and consumers. 391 is beyond the scope of this testimony to address economic effects. 392 I thank the subcommittee for its time and I am happy to answer 393 any questions you have. 394 [The prepared statement of Mr. Yacobucci follows:] 395

*********INSERT 3*******

397	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very much.
398	The chair now recognizes Ms. Dunphy for five minutes.
399	Thanks for being here.

STATEMENT OF SANDERS DUNPHY

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

400

Ms. Dunphy. Thank you very much, and good morning.

Mr. Chairman, Mr. Ranking Member, and members of the subcommittee, my name is Sandra Dunphy and I am a director in the energy compliance services group of Weaver and Tidwell, a certified public accounting firm ranked among the 40 largest CPA firms in the U.S. My area of expertise is the RFS program.

Weaver is the largest provider of attestation services under the EPA's gasoline and diesel programs and Weaver was the first auditor that the EPA approved under the RFS quality assurance plan program.

In 2017, we verified more than 1.1 billion RINs and we are currently auditing about 50 renewable fuel producers. Weaver has a diverse client base for these RFS-related services -- renewable fuel producers, importers, exporters, blenders, and consumers.

Our clients also include gasoline and diesel refiners and importers. These companies are classified as obligated parties under the RFS program because they must acquire RINs to comply with the renewable fuel blending targets set annually by the EPA.

Because of this diverse base of customers, Weaver takes a neutral position on the RFS regulations. My comments to you today are intended to provide useful information as you explore potential revisions and updates to the RFS regulations, not to

advocate for any particular position or provision.

Today, I've been asked to describe some of the nuances or inconsistencies that exist in the regulations so that that will be focus on my remarks today.

In order for companies to make the necessary investments in new technologies and renewable fuel production facilities, they need clear consistent long-term policies and regulations and, of course, they need financial incentives.

Under the RFS program, that financial incentive comes primarily from RINs. If new technologies and fuels can meet the stringent feedstock production process and finished fuel requirements of the RFS, then RINs are the reward.

But if any part of those feedstocks, processes, or fuels fails to meet the requirements, no RINs can be generated and facilities cannot acquire the funding needed to get built.

Let me provide just a few examples of things that are currently either not allowed or where the regulations are so stringent that few if any facilities can comply.

Some of the things currently not allowed, fuels that require two separate facilities to be produced -- for example, one facility converts wood, straw, grasses, or municipal solid waste, feed stock into some type of liquid, and another facility, such as a petroleum refinery, turns that liquid into a finished fuel, then fuel cannot generate RINs.

Bio gas used to produce electricity that is then used to

450 power motor vehicles, here the pathway exists in the regulations 451 but has not yet been implemented. 452 Renewable fuels used in ocean-going vessels is not available 453 By comparison, renewable fuel used in jet planes that 454 leave the U.S. are eligible for RINs. Here are examples of some feed stocks with stringent 455 456

requirements that often disqualify their fuels from earning RINs: sawdust and wood chips from a lumber mill, waste wood pulled from construction and demolition debris, old railroad ties, and disease and insect-infected trees generally don't qualify.

Tree thinning and forest floor logging residues are also very difficult to use, even if sustainable harvested. Bio gas from digesters located at farms or wastewater treatment facilities that take in a variety of wastes, some cellulosic and some non, are only allowed to make noncellulosic RINs if they cold process any amount of noncellulosic material. to make only noncellulosic RINs often kills a project.

The fuels of the future depend on a renewable fuels producers' ability not only to generate RINs but on the type and quantity of RINs that can be made for each gallon of fuel.

This dependency holds true for both standalone renewable fuel production facilities and for petroleum refineries wanting to co-process renewable feed stocks in their facilities.

The RFS regulations, by their very nature, dictate winners and losers in the renewable fuel market. Whatever changes you

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

475	may propose to the RFS regulations, I encourage you to seek clear,
476	predictable, practical standards and take advantage of RINs as
477	a powerful market incentive toward change.
478	Again, thank you for the opportunity to testify today and
479	for your work in reviewing how RINs can help incentivize
480	production of the best fuels for the environment and the American
481	consumer.
482	Weaver stands ready to assist your committee in any way
483	possible as you consider different options for accomplishing
484	these goals.
485	Thank you.
486	[The prepared statement of Ms. Dunphy follows:]
487	
488	*********INSERT 4******

489	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very much.
490	Now, next I'll turn to Mr. Niznik. You're recognized for
491	five minutes.
492	I think check the microphone. There is a button underneath
493	there and pull a little bit close.

STATEMENT OF PAUL NIZNIK

Mr. Niznik. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Ranking Member, and members of the subcommittee, my name is Paul Niznik. I am a senior consultant for Argus Media Incorporated.

I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify this morning on RINs prices and fuels markets.

Argus Media is a global commodity price reporting agency with over 40 years of experience providing a broad range of industries with objective and independent market assessments.

Billions of dollars of commodity prices are based on Argus published indices which are produced in over 20 offices spread across global market hubs.

Argus provides its services to entities within the petroleum, biofuel, and power markets, among others. In my role as a consultant, I provide strategic planning services, investment due diligence and market research specifically in biofuels and RINs markets.

Research on historic price data demonstrates that most RINs price behavior can be explained in the context of four main factors

-- the RFS rules, commodities prices, fuel quality regulations, and other incentives on the national and state level.

Historically, changes seen in any of these areas can have quantifiable effects on RIN prices. In my experience with clients, at every level of participation in the market,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

519 understanding the logic of RINs price behavior creates opportunities for investment and opens chances to optimize 520 521 business efficiency. 522 Uncertainty around potential changes to the RFS or the 523 policies disrupts the logic of the market and creates RINs price 524 movements and volatility not normally seen under similar market 525 conditions. 526 Likewise, policy clarification and long-term quidance have 527 decreased RINs price volatility and returned the markets to logical behavior in the past, such as the issuance of guidance 528 529 by EPA on the intention for annual RFS rulemaking in 2015 after 530 a multiple year lapse. RFS price volatility, driven by policy news, as well as 531 532 policy uncertainty, are the two largest complaints about the RFS that I hear from my clients, both RIN buyers such as petroleum 533 refiners, and RINs generators such as biofuels producers. 534 535 Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I look forward to answering questions from members of the committee. 536 537 [The prepared statement of Mr. Niznik follows:] 538 539 *********TNSERT 5******

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

540	Mr. Shimkus. Well, you get a gold star for short five
541	minutes there. So thank you very much.
542	I will now turn to Dr. Lade. You're recognized for five
543	minutes.

STATEMENT OF GABRIEL LADE

Mr. Lade. Chairman Shimkus, Ranking Member Tonko, and other members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to participate in today's hearing.

I am an assistant professor of economics at Iowa State
University, a visiting assistant professor in the Dyson School
of Applied Economics and Management at Cornell University, and
a faculty affiliate at the Center for Agricultural and Rural
Development, a public policy and economic research institute at
Iowa State University.

To these roles, I bring my expertise in environmental economics and policy analysis. In recent years, I have particularly focussed on state and federal policies that increase the production and use of renewable transportation fuels including the renewable fuel standard.

I published several peer reviewed and outreach articles on RIN price determinants and their impacts on downstream consumer markets, and this is the topic on which I will speak today, though I will note that all my views expressed are my own and do not reflect those of the entities that I am associated with.

RIN markets serve a vital role in enforcing the renewable fuel standard. Key questions have arisen around the operation and integrity of RIN markets, particularly since 2013, due to observed volatility.

These include have RIN markets operated as intended by the enacting legislation, what changes can improve the RIN market and limit volatility, and what impact would administrative or legislative changes to the RFS have on RIN markets and biofuel demand in the United States.

In the written testimony that I submitted to this committee,
I summarized RINs' accounting and economic purpose as well as
review the empirical evidence on RIN price determinants and their
impacts on downstream market prices.

I also address potential effects of proposed changes to the RFS program, their likely impacts on RINs, and their implications for biofuel demand in the United States.

I want to emphasize four points from my testimony here. First, RINs serve a vital accounting role in RFS compliance. However, their economic role is even more important.

RIN prices adjust to ensure that congressional biofuel blending mandates are met each year and are a key market signal about the value of investing in biofuel production and distribution infrastructure.

Second, several features of RIN markets suggest that they are efficient. Prices adjust quickly to changing compliance cost expectations and market fundamentals, and most RIN price volatility since 2013 can readily be attributed to ever changing biofuel blending targets and uncertainty around future mandates.

However, publicly available data is insufficient to fully determine whether the market is efficient or free of manipulation. Greater transparency would allow researchers and regulators to study these issues, and further transparency would make attempt to manipulate the market more difficult and costly.

Third, we all know that fuel retailers and refiners are not driven out of business every time states raise their fuel taxes.

Instead, consumer prices at the pump increase typically by the full amount of the tax.

Fuel providers pay the tax bill but consumers ultimately bear the tax cost. The same situation arises in RIN markets. The empirical economics literature continues to show that wholesale fuel prices on average rise one for one with refiners' RIN costs.

This means that so long as refiners offset their RFS compliance obligations as they accrue them, on average, they're fully compensated for their RIN costs through higher wholesale gasoline and diesel prices.

Finally, recent actions by the Environmental Protection

Agency likely undermined RIN markets. RIN markets are designed

to provide a signal about the value of biofuel production and

distribution in the United States. That signal becomes

unreliable when EPA decisions are unpredictable and lack

transparency.

Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Lade follows:]

620

619

622	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very mu	ich.
623	Now I will turn to Mr. Lavinsky.	You're recognized for five
624	minutes. Thanks for being here.	

STATEMENT OF COREY LAVINSKY

Mr. Lavinsky. Okay. Good morning, Chairman Shimkus,
Ranking Member Tonko, and other members of the subcommittee.

Thank you for inviting me to speak today. I am grateful for the opportunity to share information for this hearing.

My name is Corey Lavinsky and I am with S&P Global Platts handling global biofuels analytics. S&P Global Platts is a leading provider of energy and commodity market data. We provide news, market commentary, fundamental data and analysis, thousands of daily price assessments, and analytical tools to help customers spot and seize opportunities with confidence.

I've been analyzing biofuels markets for nearly 10 years during which I have seen conventional biofuel RINs rise from pennies apiece to as much as \$1.40.

RINs, which are currently hovering around five-year lows, are of great interest to our clients and to the agricultural biofuels and petroleum industries in general.

RINs are the currency of the renewable fuel standard -- the RFS. Refiners and importers that are subject to the RFS prove compliance with their renewable volume obligations by retiring RINs at the end of each compliance year.

Obligated parties can obtain RINs by physical blending or by purchasing them on the open market. RINs are typically traded on a bilateral basis and off exchange. Independent pricing 650 organizations like S&P Global Platts have shined a light on the 651 opaque markets by publishing RIN price assessments. Some RINs are more versatile than others and can be used 652 653 to satisfy multiple mandates. For instance, a D4 RIN with the 654 production of biodiesel can be used to satisfy three of the four mandates. The most common RIN, a D6 RIN, generated from corn 655 656 ethanol, can only be used toward the total renewable fuel mandate. 657 Adding to flexibility, all RINs have a two calendar year 658 lifespan. Unused RINs can be carried over to the next year with 659 some limitations. 660 The government publishes extensive aggregated monthly data on RIN generation and renewable fuel production. 661 It's also 662 responsible for making decisions on small refinery hardship 663 exemptions. Exemptions lower the number of RINs needed to satisfy the 664 annual mandates. Currently, small refinery hardship exemption 665 666 decisions are not make public. This makes analyzing RIN supply and demand challenging. 667 668 Earlier this month, S&P Global Platts and other news 669 organizations broke news as to how many small refinery exemptions 670 were issued. 671 In a letter to Senator Grassley, the government confirmed that it had granted 19 out of 20 waivers for 2016. Further, for 672 673 2017, 29 of 33 petitioners were granted exemptions with the four 674 remaining still pending.

675 In total, 48 exemptions have been granted over the past two years with a total exempted renewable fuel volume obligation of 676 677 approximately 2.25 billion RINs. 678 Recent court decisions have also had an effect on RIN supply. 679 A few cases including one that was decided by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals last Friday have overturned denials of waiver 680 681 petitions. 682 In Ergon-West Virginia vs. EPA, the court vacated a denial 683 of the small refinery exemption, saying that it was arbitrary 684 and capricious. If this decision opens the door to more 685 litigation that leads to restatement of retired RINs, supply demand in prices would be affected. 686 687 When compared to other policy-driven environmental 688 commodity markets, a key distinguishing characteristic of the 689 RINs market is that it is a floor and trade system rather than 690 a cap and trade system. This ensures that a minimum volume of biofuels is blended 691 692 into the transportation pool. Cap and trade sets a cap for 693 behavior that the government seeks to limit and penalizes 694 companies that exceed the cap. 695 On the other hand, under the RFS, the government sets the 696 floor and companies are penalized if they don't blend enough to meet their RVOs or do not acquire a sufficient amount of RINs 697

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

RINs of the same year and category are priced the same

in lieu of blending.

698

700 anywhere in the country. RINs do not have the same complications 701 across state lines that renewable energy certificates often have. 702 Unlike the RINs market, renewable energy certificate 703 policies are set by individual states and require electricity 704 suppliers to account for a certain percentage of the final sales 705 customers with particular kinds of renewable power. 706 Renewable energy generation creates certificates which are 707 regional tracking systems. Individual states decide targets and 708 which types of certificates can be used for compliance. 709 Thank you for the opportunity to provide the statement at 710 such an important time. I welcome any questions you might have. 711 712 [The prepared statement of Mr. Lavinsky follows:] 713 714 **********************************

Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very much and I thank you all for your testimony and we'll now move to the question asking portion of the hearing. I will begin the questioning and recognize myself for five minutes.

I understand RINs are used by obligated parties to demonstrate compliance with the renewable volume obligations set by the EPA and that those volumes are based upon energy information and administrative numbers and projections.

Ms. Dunphy, are those Energy Information Administration projections and, by extension, the RVOs based solely on past biofuel production levels or do they reflect realistic growth in biofuel production capacity?

Ms. Dunphy. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The annual RVOs are set by EPA using the EIA forecast for gasoline and diesel demand for the coming year, and if you think of the way the allocations work amongst the refineries, the EPA's job is to come up with a percentage that each refinery can use to calculate their obligation and there's a percentage for each of the four compliance categories that they multiply how much gasoline and diesel they may buy.

To come up with that, they forecast what renewable fuel is going to be available for introduction into the U.S. market.

That becomes the numerator of the fraction. The denominator is gasoline and diesel. You come up with a percentage for all four categories.

741 that creates this percentage that the oil companies use in the 742 next year. 743 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you. 744 Mr. Lavinsky, in your testimony you note that in your 10 years of analyzing biofuels you have seen RIN prices go from 745 746 pennies to as much as \$1.40. 747 What usually causes such changes to the price? Is it market 748 related or more affected by regulations and policy actions? 749 Mr. Lavinsky. Thank you for your question. 750 Historically, large movements in RIN prices can be tied to 751 spikes in ethanol prices due to higher feedstock costs. Higher 752 mandates also contribute. 753 In 2013 when RIN prices reached their peak, corn prices were 754 over \$7 per bushel and they're currently only around \$3.25 now, 755 and while ethanol prices were about \$1.18 per gallon more than they are now. 756 757 There are usually small policy bumps in prices each year 758 as new mandates are proposed in the spring and finalized around 759 November. But they're not comparable in size to the spike five 760 years ago. 761 Currently, the low RIN prices now are the result of a record 762 domestic ethanol production and consumption and this abnormally 763 high RIN bank. 764 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you. Some stakeholders had expressed **NEAL R. GROSS**

So it actually is a forward looking fraction, if you will,

765 frustration with the transparency of the RIN market -- I think 766 we've heard that today from some of you all -- including what information is available to the public, when it's available, and 767 768 what information is deemed confidential business information and 769 who is trading in the market, among other concerns. 770 Is there a RIN market transparency issue and, if so, quickly, 771 what would be some of the benefits of a more transparent market? 772 And this is for the whole table. So Mr. Yacobucci, if you 773 would start and then we'll just go down the table. Mr. Yacobucci. Certainly, there is limited information 774 775 that's available. For example, EPA maintains a spreadsheet of 776 literally thousands of companies that are required to comply with 777 various fuel requirements under the Clean Air Act. 778 It is difficult from that current database to figure out 779 which RIN participants are individual trading companies versus subsidiaries of a large company and so being able to suss out 780 781 who is performing, trading, and participating in the MTS as an 782 independent third party versus a subsidiary of a biofuel company 783 or an oil company with refining. Those sorts of information is 784 very difficult to suss out currently. 785 Mr. Shimkus. Okay. Let me go to Ms. Dunphy. 786 The other --Ms. Dunphy. Yes. 787 Mr. Shimkus. And I only have a minute left so try to be 788 real --789 Ms. Dunphy. Yes. Other panellists are probably more

790	expert on this
791	Mr. Shimkus. Okay.
792	Ms. Dunphy but there are private contracts where the
793	RINs transact on the intraday basis. So it's not public
794	information.
795	Mr. Shimkus. Okay. Mr. Niznik.
796	Mr. Niznik. Yes. Argus Media is a price reporting agency
797	that tracks data and marketplace transactions.
798	Sorry here. We track RINs transactions from real market
799	participants' reporting of actual trades. So that's how we
800	gather data on pricing.
801	Mr. Shimkus. Okay.
802	Mr. Niznik. And still, even at that level, we can't have
803	the understanding of full volumes of the marketplace at any one
804	given time that would be able to elucidate any issues on market
805	manipulation.
806	Mr. Shimkus. Dr. Lade.
807	Mr. Lade. I echo a lot of the other participants' statements
808	here. However, I would say, you know, more on the transparency
809	side it's really the policy signal that has been driving much
810	of the volatility recently.
811	Mr. Shimkus. Mr. Lavinsky.
812	Mr. Lavinsky. I mean, with my five seconds and some
813	companies occasionally report that they received a waiver in the
814	public filings. So sometimes we get additional information

815	through the public filings that wouldn't otherwise be available.
816	Mr. Shimkus. That's awesome. Thank you very much.
817	I yield back my time and turn to the ranking member, Mr.
818	Tonko, for five minutes.
819	Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and Mr. Yacobucci, thank
820	you for your work with CRS.
821	Some questions EPA signed a memorandum of understanding
822	with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission in 2016. As I
823	understand it, only EPA has the authority over the RIN market.
824	Is that true?
825	Mr. Yacobucci. Currently that is the case that EPA has the
826	only regulatory authority. Congress has not granted CFTC
827	specific authority to regulate that market.
828	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. So rules that government other
829	markets regulations prohibiting speculative practices like
830	spoofing where a buyer initiates an order for a commodity they
831	do not intend to complete for the purposes of influencing the
832	price of that commodity do not apply to the RIN market. Is
833	that correct?
834	Mr. Yacobucci. As I understand it, but this is more out
835	of my area of expertise. I am not as familiar with CFTC
836	regulations.
837	Mr. Tonko. Is there any way to track this type of thing
838	with EPA's monitoring system?
839	Mr. Yacobucci. Certainly that has been one of the

840 criticisms is because there is such limited data publicly available that it's hard to tell, again, who's participating and 841 842 what actions they might be taking. 843 Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And if Congress were to subject this 844 market to a regulator, would the CFTC be the most appropriate 845 regulator? 846 Mr. Yacobucci. I would defer to Congress on their decisions 847 on that. 848 Thank you. Proper functioning of markets Mr. Tonko. 849 requires transparency and market participants need to have 850 reliable information about prices and supplies. You point out in your testimony there is little public 851 information on the volume or price of RIN trades. 852 853 sound very transparent to me. 854 How can buyers and sellers be sure they are trading at a 855 fair price? 856 Mr. Yacobucci. I would defer to some of the other witnesses 857 on that question. 858 Mr. Tonko. Okay. Anyone on the panel want to take a stab 859 at a comment there? 860 Mr. Niznik. Well, the price-reporting agencies do have the 861 ability to do price discovery and most of the transactions that 862 occur, both from buyer and seller, are usually benchmarked to 863 RINs prices published by either my company or, if I am being 864 generous, Mr. Lavinsky's company also, and those are private price

865	discovery agencies, though, requiring subscriptions.
866	Mr. Tonko. Anyone else want to comment on that?
867	Mr. Lavinsky. I mean, I am part of the analytics team, not
868	the pricing team, but I can discuss it with them and provide you
869	with an answer for the record after the hearing.
870	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And Dr. Lade?
871	Mr. Lade. I would argue that most of the uncertainty is
872	around trading volumes rather than the price.
873	Mr. Tonko. Thank you.
874	RINs are created and sold within a compliance year with some
875	limited ability to carry over some RINs into the next compliance
876	year.
877	What effect does a delay in the release of the annual RVO
878	have on the RIN markets? Anyone?
879	Ms. Dunphy. I will go ahead and try to answer that, sir.
880	Mr. Tonko. Thank you.
881	Ms. Dunphy. So the RVO, more recently in the last couple
882	of years, have come out on a timely basis by November the 30th
883	is what's required by law.
884	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And we are referring to the RIN
885	market as if there is only one type of RIN. But, of course, that's
886	not the case.
887	RINs attach to biodiesel or advanced biofuels trade at
888	different prices than RINs or conventional ethanol. What is the
889	effect of RIN prices on the development and production of advanced

biofuels?

Mr. Niznik. I will answer that. I work on due diligence for people who are investing in -- particularly in advanced biofuel projects.

The -- for advanced biofuel projects that might use an advanced RIN or a D4 RIN or a cellulosic RIN -- those are the top three category RINs -- the advanced biofuel RINs -- the variability in that area and, more importantly, on certainty of the policy moving forward are critical because none of those projects can go forward without the RFS RINs price incentive making those fuels possible to be blended in the marketplace economically.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you. Has RIN market volatility affected all biofuel markets to the same degree?

Mr. Niznik. No, it has not, sir. The biofuel markets for D4 RINs from biodiesel are more stable than the biofuel markets for D6 RINs from ethanol primarily because of D4's ability within the rules to replace the costs of a D6. They are a market setter.

When the D6 RIN is short, you replace them with a D4 RIN so that if it's a short D6 marketplace, the D6 RIN is now suddenly at the D4 price. But when the D6 volume -- the D6 marketplace is long and oversupplied, then it can drift downward to another

level and so volatility can be higher in that space.

It almost has a binary value. Essentially, under current

915 market conditions if you had more than enough D6 RINs, the D6 RINs would be essentially around 3 cents or zero because it's 916 917 very, very economical to blend ethanol to gasoline. 918 But when that marketplace is short because of the regulations 919 or otherwise, it will jump up to the D4 price. 920 Mr. Tonko. Thank you, and I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 921 Mr. Shimkus. Clear as mud, right? Clear as mud. 922 that. 923 [Laughter.] 924 Mr. Niznik. That's how it is. 925 The chair recognizes the chairman of the full Mr. Shimkus. 926 committee, Chairman Walden, for five minutes. 927 Yes. Thank you for this enlightening The Chairman. 928 discussion. I am sitting here wondering what all this costs consumers 929 but we'll get to that at another time. 930 931 You know, as an Oregonian, we've had a lot of talk about 932 biomass and what we can do with these -- the wastes from the forests 933 and all -- and I know, Ms. Dunphy, in your testimony you talked 934 about the inconsistencies and barriers that prevent some 935 renewable fuels from qualifying for RINs. 936 Do you think we are missing out on some opportunities to better integrate woody biomass into the fuel supply due to the 937

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Ms. Dunphy. Yes, and I think history has proven that.

way the RFS regulations are written?

938

939

We

940 only have one company today in the U.S. after all these years of the program using woody biomass as a feedstock to produce a 941 renewable fuel that makes RINs. 942 943 The Chairman. So is that something -- well, something we'll 944 look at, I quess. Probably have to change it congressionally, Is that something --945 right? 946 Ms. Dunphy. I think we need clarification in the current 947 regulatory language to enable EPA to determine which of the woody 948 biomass feedstocks falls under the existing categories. 949 So the pathways exist today but they need clarification. 950 The Chairman. Okay. 951 And I would just add that there is a much Mr. Yacobucci. more complex definition of what qualifies as qualified biomass 952 953 under the 2007 law than was in the original 2005 law and that 954 has added to that complexity. So there are regulatory definition issues but there's also the statutory definition as well. 955 956 The Chairman. I remember those debates on this committee 957 when some of the advocates for this tried to argue that woody 958 biomass of a federal forest was somehow not renewable energy but 959 if it was on the other side of the line -- the same trees falling 960 different sides -- then it would be and it's, like, it had no 961 It was all political and results in this scientific basis. 962 complicated mess. 963 Mr. Lavinsky and Yacobucci, what are the key challenges you 964 see facing this RINs market now and, I mean, what do we need to do here, if anything, from your perspectives?

What are -- you may not want to -- some may not want to suggest policy so I will ask it in the other way. What are the challenges facing the RINs market?

Mr. Lavinsky. I would say one challenge is managing the opposing opinions as to whether RIN obligations need to be reallocated from the granting of small refinery waivers.

Small refinery waivers are mostly issued after the year has ended and obligated parties have already submitted their RINs and compliance.

So, to date, waivers have been issued retroactively.

Retired RINs have been reinstated. But RIN obligations have not been reallocated.

Mr. Yacobucci. And I would just add to that, I mean, it's not just the small refinery exemptions. It is the time line for rulemakings.

It is the level that EPA sets because under the statute the EPA has the authority to lower the targets from what they were — the targets set in law. All of these different pieces, whether it's the specific waivers against the overall caps, individual waivers for companies, whether you're talking about refiners, you're talking about biofuel producers, all the participants in the market have raised issues in one form or other about uncertainty and that's what drives a lot of this, and Mr. Niznik has talked about how that's affected the markets and there's just

990 all these questions about what is the current demand for fuel, given the different levels as they change. 991 992 The Chairman. So for any of you, what's your assessment 993 with how well the EPA has overseen the RINs market and 994 implementation and where is there room for improvement there? 995 Ms. Dunphy. So I think the EPA does a pretty good job at 996 administering the regulations as they were written. But the RIN 997 market oversight is missing. There's really not any oversight 998 of the trading activity of RINs by the --999 The Chairman. Anywhere? Is there anywhere? 1000 Ms. Dunphy. Not to my knowledge. 1001 The Chairman. Should there be? 1002 Ms. Dunphy. That's your call. 1003 The Chairman. Oh, I see how this works. 1004 Anybody else want to weigh in on that topic, about the EPA's 1005 oversight and what we should or shouldn't do? 1006 Mr. Niznik. There's some hardworking folks down there and 1007 they are administering --The Chairman. Oh, yes. 1008 1009 -- and they're administering very well. Mr. Niznik. Ιf 1010 you look at the public record on the rulemakings you can see a 1011 lot of input from multiple departments, some of which I've worked 1012 with under consulting before and there's a broad base of 1013 information being put into the decision making from both the USDA 1014 and EIA at every step.

1015	So the ability to make a good decision as best as humanly
1016	possible is there, in my opinion, based on the regulations and
1017	the review process necessary to do so. Whether or not the
1018	ultimate human decision at the end is qualifiably good is up to
1019	this body, Mr. Chairman.
1020	The Chairman. Well said. Thank you. All right. That's
1021	my time. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
1022	Mr. Shimkus. The chairman yields back his time.
1023	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from California, Mr.
1024	McNerney for five minutes.
1025	You don't want to go?
1026	Mr. McNerney. I do. I just thought that the ranking member
1027	of the full committee was here. Thank you.
1028	Well, I hear from the testimony this morning that there's
1029	a that the RINs volatility is due to three factors. One is
1030	commodity prices, one is uncertainty in the market, and the other
1031	is fraud. Is there anything I am missing in that list?
1032	Mr. Niznik. I would also there are other fuel quality
1033	regulations and state and local incentives for biofuels usage
1034	or petroleum usage that can weigh in onto the RINs price.
1035	Mr. McNerney. So it might be good then to have federal
1036	standards that override state rules?
1037	Mr. Niznik. If you want another civil war.
1038	[Laughter.]
1039	Mr. Shimkus. Would the gentleman yield?

1040 Mr. McNerney. Sure. Mr. Shimkus. But isn't that like when a state might provide 1041 1042 an incentive through a local percentage or tax incentive or 1043 something that -- I mean, it's not just a fuel incentive. 1044 could be just in a policy incentive? 1045 Mr. Niznik. Yes, sir. That's what I am talking about. 1046 Either a tax that is for production, taxes that is for sales can 1047 weigh very heavily and on the national level one of the most important factors on RINs price is the biodiesel blenders' tax 1048 1049 credit, which can come back retroactively or can come back 1050 actively in any given year, depending on the actions of this body. Mr. McNerney. Okay. 1051 Thanks. Reclaiming my time. 1052 Some of the solutions I hear are transparency, rational 1053 consistency, referring to Ms. Dunphy's list of items, and clear 1054 predictable simple rules. Are there other factors that would be helpful? 1055 1056 Ms. Dunphy. If you can do clear concise long-term rules 1057 that would make everyone in the market very happy. 1058 Mr. McNerney. So how has the volatility of the past three 1059 years compared to prior years for that? 1060 Mr. Niznik. Are you saying price volatility, sir? 1061 Mr. McNerney. Right. RINs price volatility. 1062 Mr. Niznik. Actually, RINs price volatility was relatively 1063 calm, I would say, during 2016 and 2015. But at the end of 2016, 1064 with the administrative change, there's been a large amount of

	NEAL D. CDCCC
1089	Mr. Lade. The empirical evidence to date is that all
1088	market than others?
1087	similarly or do some refineries impacted more drastically by RINs'
1086	one consumer price impacts but are all refineries impacted
1085	Dr. Lade, you testified that the consumer prices go one to
1084	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you.
1083	on the market when they're granted.
1082	are retrospective. So individual waivers don't have an impact
1081	to those most recent announcements. But the waivers themself
1080	market, which because they weren't aware of the waivers prior
1079	So to some extent the news of the waivers did impact the
1078	compliance year is over with.
1077	said, are given retrospectively. So they are granted after the
1076	Ms. Dunphy. So small refinery waivers, as was already been
1075	Ms. Dunphy.
1074	the volatility?
1073	So how much have the small refinery waivers contributed to
1072	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you. I didn't understand that.
1071	for a while. Yes, sir.
1070	Mr. Niznik. That's the last time things got kind of rational
1069	Mr. McNerney. Oh, so that's sort of
1068	Mr. Niznik. In 2015. Yes, sir.
1067	helped stabilize the market.
1066	Mr. McNerney. But you mentioned that the EPA's guidance
1065	policy-driven price policy uncertainty-driven price behavior.

refiners are -- in the economics literature all refiners are 1090 1091 affected similarly and, you know, I've looked at -- again, more 1092 data and more research is necessary, particularly looking at 1093 markets and there are members of the academic community looking 1094 at that. 1095 But by and large, there's been a consistent consensus that 1096 on average these wholesale prices are adjusting to compensate 1097 for refiner costs. Aren't the large refineries able to produce 1098 Mr. McNerney. 1099 Aren't they affected less, or even make a profit 1100 off RINs? 1101 Correct. However, you're either going to Mr. Lade. 1102 produce your own RINs in house of that is the most cost-effective 1103 way to comply with this or you -- if it's not cost-effective for 1104 you to comply then you will purchase RINs from those who can 1105 produce RINs even cheaper than this. 1106 And so this is kind of the beauty behind the market-based 1107 mechanism here that it allows parties to decide whether it's more 1108 cost-effective to get into the biofuel business or to just 1109 purchase RINs from people who are better at producing biofuel. 1110 And so either way, that cost is borne. It's just whether 1111 it's borne through biofuel production or through RIN purchases. 1112 Mr. McNerney. Yes, but I mean the problem in my mind is 1113 that the large producers are producing RINs and selling them so 1114 they're getting a double benefit whereas the small refiners are 1115 having to buy RINs. So they're paying for it. 1116 Mr. Lade. That's a great point. Similar as to the side 1117 where when you're having to pay for these RINs and you then are 1118 compensated for that through higher wholesale gasoline prices. 1119 1120 Whether or not these oil refiners who are producing RINs 1121 upstream through biofuel production, whether they actually get 1122 to keep that depends on what happens to downstream consumer prices 1123 and work of my own has shown that ethanol prices are actually 1124 being -- this incentive is being passed through to consumers. 1125 1126 Therefore, it wouldn't actually be impacting those refiners. 1127 The consumers are benefiting from the RINs on the ethanol side. 1128 Mr. McNerney. Okay. I don't quite follow that, but I will 1129 yield back my time. 1130 [Laughter.] 1131 Mr. Shimkus. I love this hearing. So the gentleman yields back his time. 1132 1133 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia 1134 for five minutes. 1135 Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a point of 1136 I would like to recognize in the audience personal privilege. 1137 -- he was my mentor when I joined the Energy and Commerce Committee 1138 -- Ed Whitfield back there. Thank you. Thanks for -- and you 1139 have showed you have not deviated one bit from your interest in energy. So thank you for coming.

Mr. Lavinsky, you kind of took the wind out of my sales. I wanted to talk about that Fourth Circuit -- the ruling on Friday, because it overturned the previous denial from the EPA for Ergon, which is just a boutique refinery in West Virginia, and they were -- they've been burdened with this. Think about for all of us to understand this, here we have a boutique refinery, 23,000 barrels a day, and they're faced with the same issues that, like, Marathon is. Marathon refinery. It's a hundred times larger.

That doesn't seem right. We should be able to differentiate between these and provide these hardship grants, waivers, for some of these small -- think, a hundred times larger, because we know that for Ergon in West Virginia it's the third biggest expense they have behind raw materials and labor, and what they -- the biofuels industry has argued that granting these small refineries like the one at Ergon this hardship exemption was going to result in demand destruction.

So I want to go to Ms. Dunphy and say in a kind of a yes or no, if you could, please, do you believe that granting these small refinery hardship exemptions result in less renewable fuel being included?

Ms. Dunphy. So as I mentioned earlier, the small refinery waivers are granted retrospectively. So the compliance year has already passed.

But every refiner assumes that they're going to be an obligated party during that year and they will continue to blend renewable fuels and buy RINs as needed because they don't know that they're going to get the exemption at the 12th hour in the next -- when they go to report to the EPA by March the 31st of the following year.

So I suggest that you all definitely talk to the small refineries in the U.S. and ask them if they have changed their blending policies because they think they're going to be exempted for the year and I think you will find that they have not changed their blending policy.

They continue to blend. They continue to purchase RINs.

What they do is focus on current year RINs rather than the 20 percent prior year.

So if they get the exemption they still will be able to use the current year RINs in the next year. So that puts more RINs into the market. We understand that. But does it destruct the demand of the current year?

I would say that if you look at the RIN data through June we are at the same production level that we were in 2017 and we are halfway towards meeting the 2018 compliance RVOs. So whether that will hold true for the entire year I don't know.

Mr. McKinley. The EIA had come out -- I thought they just -- I saw some information yesterday on the EIA that said that actually the blending over the first part of this year -- there

1190 was six months, seven months -- we are actually up over last year 1191 -- that actually we are increasing. 1192 So despite having all of these small refinery exemptions, 1193 is this -- is this a confirmation that small refinery exemptions 1194 do not -- do not destroy the demand for renewable fuel? Ms. Dunphy. You know, we should remember that refiners 1195 1196 would probably blend ethanol regardless of whether there was an 1197 RFS or not because ethanol represents a very good source of octane. 1198 It helps them meet their gasoline, sulfur, and benzene 1199 requirements. 1200 So they have an incentive to blend ethanol and they're going 1201 to do that. So I would say that the data through six months for 1202 the EMTS data that's published that anyone can see -- it's public 1203 information -- shows that the -- we are on track with last year. 1204 1205 Whether that will continue through the rest of the year, 1206 I can't predict. 1207 Mr. McKinley. Thank you. 1208 Mr. Yacobucci. 1209 Mr. Yacobucci. Just one open question is whether or not a prior year's waiver creates a supply, potentially -- you know, 1210 1211 and increased supply of carry forward RINs that a refiner can 1212 use, because a refiner can use either this year or last year's 1213 RIN to meet this year's obligation and that's the question going 1214 forward is if there's more of last year's RINs available what

1215	does that do to the market.
1216	I think that's still an open question.
1217	Mr. McKinley. Let's stay with you just for a minute.
1218	With the original intent of this RFS and RIN program, have
1219	we achieved that objective that we set out on that or have we
1220	have we created a confusing and costly commodity system? What
1221	would be your opinion?
1222	Mr. Yacobucci. In terms of congressional intent, I wouldn't
1223	speculate. I will say that there are no congressional findings
1224	or specific stated goals in the statute.
1225	And so various players have attributed various goals to the
1226	program, whether that is increasing agricultural production,
1227	raising farm incomes, reducing imports of fuel. Certainly,
1228	increased biofuel use has displaced petroleum use. There have
1229	been economic benefits to agricultural states from the program.
1230	
1231	If you consider those to be the goals of the RFS, then yes,
1232	they have been successful. Is the is the program complicated?
1233	Certainly.
1234	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman's time is expired.
1235	Mr. McKinley. My time has expired. I yield back.
1236	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
1237	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Mississippi,
1238	Mr. Harper, for five minutes.
1239	Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you each

1240	for being here. It is a topic every time I read it I think I
1241	come away and study it I come away more confused than when
1242	I started that study. So thank you very much.
1243	Mr. Yacobucci, if I could ask you and, certainly, you know,
1244	I certainly agree with what Mr. McKinley has said. But I wanted
1245	you to just try to educate me as best you can.
1246	Give me a brief explanation of the terms RIN long and RIN
1247	short so I can understand that.
1248	Mr. Yacobucci. I would defer to Mr. Niznik on that. It's
1249	his term.
1250	Mr. Harper. Okay. Mr. Niznik.
1251	Mr. Niznik. I apologize for confusing the committee. I come
1252	from the trading world, which has got its own language.
1253	Mr. Harper. Yes.
1254	Mr. Niznik. When we say long, we mean over supplied.
1255	Mr. Harper. Okay.
1256	Mr. Niznik. And when we say short, we mean under supplied.
1257	So to apply that to Ms. Dunphy's statement from before, if
1258	previous year obligations got waivered under a small refinery
1259	exception and those RINs were suddenly dumped back into the
1260	market, it could cause a case of long or over supply of RINs that
1261	weren't expected to be in the market.
1262	Mr. Harper. Okay. So explain why some companies are RIN
1263	long and some are RIN short a little further so that if they
1264	have an over supply

1265 Mr. Niznik. Yes. They would be long -- you would say they're over supplied for what they might need for their 1266 1267 obligation for this year. 1268 Mr. Harper. Okay. 1269 So they may say oh -- or if they perceive they Mr. Niznik. 1270 will be long -- and this is more important is the perception --1271 if they perceive they're going to be over supplied then they're 1272 not willing to pay a certain price. They're more -- they're going 1273 to depress the market price because they're less interested in 1274 a purchase. 1275 Mr. Harper. You know, and certainly when you're looking at some of the smaller refineries, for instance, and all of these 1276 1277 waivers are done retrospectively, as Ms. Dunphy pointed out --1278 the way that it's set up -- what would happen if all of a sudden 1279 they were done prospectively? 1280 You have been getting them each year and you just planned 1281 You knew -- hey, you have done that -- probably going 1282 to be like that next year -- we are going to go ahead and tell 1283 you now on the front end. How would that impact it, Ms. Dunphy? 1284 Ms. Dunphy. According to the regulations today, the EPA 1285 would take that into consideration. In the fraction I mentioned earlier where the renewable fuels are the numerator and gasoline 1286 1287 and diesel demand is the denominator. 1288 Today, those small refineries are in there because the EPA 1289 doesn't know that they're not going to be exempted.

1290 Okay. Mr. Harper. 1291 If they get exempted, they come out of the Ms. Dunphy. 1292 That makes the overall fraction greater for all denominator. 1293 of the other obligated parties who don't qualify under that small 1294 refinery. 1295 So, obviously, Mr. Niznik, jumping back to that, companies 1296 that are RIN long obviously have a competitive advantage over 1297 the those that are RIN short? 1298 Mr. Niznik. Yes. So that's a business strategy that they 1299 may employ to be -- to take advantage of the rules as they're 1300 written, just like someone might take advantage of the tax code 1301 or any other code. Yes. 1302 Mr. Harper. Sure. All right. Well, let's talk for a 1303 minute regarding RIN fraud, and I know that's an issue. instances are unreported and what other types of fraud are 1304 1305 possible in the future and what are the implications of this fraud? 1306 Who would like to answer that? 1307 Ms. Dunphy. 1308 Ms. Dunphy. I will go ahead and answer that. 1309 So the RIN fraud cases have decreased in number over the 1310 years and many of the RIN fraud cases involve a period of time 1311 when the RFS was relatively new to the market, so 2010, 2011, 1312 2012. 1313 I would say the current instances of potential RIN invalidity 1314 relate to exports of renewable fuel for which RINs are not retired

1315	because remember that renewable fuel can be blended into
1316	petroleum, gasoline, or diesel and that blend can be exported
1317	from the United States and calculating how much renewable fuel
1318	is in that blend is difficult.
1319	But yet, it's required under the regulations that a certain
1320	amount of renewable fuel RINs be retired.
1321	I would say RIN fraud of the future it's all about feedstock
1322	and use of the fuel.
1323	Mr. Harper. Okay. Explain that a little further.
1324	Ms. Dunphy. Okay. So RIN fraud, basically, doesn't happen
1325	on the use side. It happens at the generated side. So if I use
1326	a feedstock that does not qualify, I shouldn't be making RINs.
1327	If I take the feedstock that qualifies and I use a process
1328	and I produce a fuel but I put it into an ocean-going vessel,
1329	for example, I am supposed to retire those RINs or I shouldn't
1330	have made them in the first place.
1331	So I see the RIN fraud potential of the future being feedstock
1332	or use, but it's much less today than it was in the past.
1333	Mr. Shimkus. Gregg
1334	Mr. Harper. Thank you.
1335	Mr. Shimkus Mr. Lavinsky wanted to respond to that
1336	question.
1337	Mr. Harper. Yes.
1338	Mr. Lavinsky. Thank you very much.
1339	Now, one other thing when you asked for what occurrences
	NEAL D. GDOSS

1340	occurred in the past, is that a few years back a handful of
1341	individuals and companies would generate and sell RINs for
1342	biodiesel that they did not produce
1343	Mr. Harper. Okay.
1344	Mr. Lavinsky and that's how they got the RINs in their
1345	hands and sold them off and put them into the market.
1346	Mr. Harper. Thank you. Now I yield back.
1347	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
1348	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Olson,
1349	for five minutes.
1350	Mr. Olson. I thank the chair, and welcome to our five
1351	witnesses. A special howdy to the one Texan on the panel, Sandra
1352	Dunphy. She lives in Kingwood, Texas, where Ted Poe is her
1353	congressman, and my colleagues worry about me bragging about the
1354	Houston Astros, World Series champions. Rest assured
1355	Mr. Shimkus. Who? Who?
1356	Mr. Olson I can't brag about them. Ms. Dunphy can
1357	brag for me and she will, she will.
1358	Ms. Dunphy. Happy to.
1359	Mr. Olson. An Astros repeat aside, over the years we've
1360	heard stories about fraud in the RIN markets. One story I read
1361	was titled, quote, "The Fake Factory that Pumped Out Real Money,"
1362	end quote, about a company that made out of thin air 60 million
1363	gallons of biodiesel. Wow.
1364	Ms. Dunphy, you said that RINs fraud is much less than today,

1365 to Mr. Harper's question. Can you talk about the steps that have 1366 been taken to prevent RINs fraud and whether you think more is 1367 needed like you talked about with Mr. Harper, please? 1368 Ms. Dunphy. Yes. 1369 Also you're on deck too, Mr. Yacobucci. Mr. Olson. Happy to. 1370 Ms. Dunphy. Yes, sir. 1371 So the making RINs out of thin air, there's two things that 1372 really transformed the marketplace since the time that all of 1373 this happened. 1374 The first was the EPA initiated a quality assurance plan 1375 program, which is optimal for producers to participate and they 1376 hire a company like mine to come in and audit what they're doing to make sure they're complying with the rules and we're -- we 1377 1378 are -- we probably have the most stringent quality assurance plan 1379 out there in the marketplace today. 1380 The second thing that happened was because the obligated 1381 parties had to replace RINs and pay penalties, they do today and 1382 started doing even then a much better job at due diligence. 1383 they will research all of the producers of the RINs who they are 1384 going to use for compliance. 1385 Mr. Olson. Thank you. 1386 Comments, Mr. Yacobucci? 1387 Mr. Yacobucci. One thing I would just note is there's 1388 necessarily a time lag to -- when the fraud occurs to when we 1389 necessarily even know about it.

EPA is doing its, you know, various inspections, looking at their data. State inspectors may be going out to these different facilities. And then EPA needs to make a decision with the Justice Department whether or not this is simply a civil violation of the Clean Air Act because Title 2 of the Clean Air Act where the RFS exists only employs civil violations or where there is criminal action, in which case there's a whole additional amount of time where the Justice Department needs to do their own investigation.

And so as I said, there's necessarily this time lag and so in some of the cases that we are hearing about most recently still go back to that earlier time because they involve wire fraud, tax fraud, and other sorts of things that are outside of EPA's purview and rest with the Justice Department.

Mr. Olson. Thank you. The next question is for you, Mr. Lavinsky. This is no news, but there have been some serious volatility in RINs prices in recent years.

What sticks out in my mind January of 2013 to March of that same year RINs prices were about 1 to 2 cents in January, spiked up to almost over \$1 two months later in March. A local refiner back home, on paper, lost \$600 million in value just because of the RINs issue.

But now the price is low and that's great. But can you talk about the swings and what drives these RINs swings and how we could prevent them or moderate them?

Mr. Lavinsky. I mean, I could talk about historically.

Like I said earlier, historically the big spike that occurred in 2013 was associated with super high ethanol prices and feedstock costs. Corn was, you know, \$7 per bushel, more than twice as much as it is now.

So having the really high ethanol and having an increase in the mandate that year -- I believe there was a 9 percent increase in the mandate from 2012 to 2013.

So there was a combination of an increase in the mandate coupled with sky-high ethanol prices and feedstock costs and that's what drove, you know, that huge spike in RINs, which is incomparable.

It has not repeated itself. There has been volatility over the last three years but nothing like it was in 2013.

Mr. Olson. Another question -- do you think market transparency can add the clarity to what happens in a few years when EPA takes over the settling the mandates? Do you think that market transparency helps EPA out or hurts them? How can we prepare for EPA taking over in a couple of years?

Mr. Lavinsky. Just speaking generally without speaking about the EPA, speaking generally, transparency helps everybody out because it's easy to determine how many RINs are out there, what the true supply and demand are, and if everybody knows what the RIN bank is and how many RINs are on the market then it's easier to pick a price that's fair for everybody.

1440 Mr. Olson. Thank you. 1441 And one final question, Ms. Dunphy -- will the Astros repeat 1442 World Series champions? 1443 Ms. Dunphy. Absolutely. 1444 The gentleman's time has expired. Mr. Shimkus. 1445 [Laughter.] 1446 The chair now recognizes the other gentleman from Texas, 1447 who's been deep diving on this issue with me all this Congress, so Mr. Flores for five minutes. 1448 1449 Mr. Flores. Mr. Chairman, thanks for holding this hearing. 1450 As has been said before, this is the fifth in a series of hearings 1451 that we've had as we look at our nation's transportation fuel system. 1452 1453 I think that America needs to be a world leader when it comes 1454 to producing efficient vehicles and also having the fuel system 1455 that will properly power those as we move forward, and I appreciate 1456 this subcommittee's work as we look forward to examine the market 1457 and regulatory environments that impact our nation's fuels as 1458 we move further into the 21st century. 1459 I was -- some of the reflections that I've had that I've 1460 learned so far that were interesting, coming out of this hearing, Ms. Dunphy's comment that even though we've had the small refinery 1461 1462 exemptions with the -- the EIA numbers show that ethanol demand 1463 has still been higher than -- or at least equal to last year --1464 than even with that small refinery exemption. So that's been

interesting.

Ms. Dunphy, as we all know, many of the statutory provisions and requirements of the RFS programmers sunsetted after 2022.

In your view, what does the RIN ecosystem look like after 2022?

Certainly, Congress gave EPA more latitude at that point in time for how they structure the program, going forward.

Ms. Dunphy. I think that's a really good question.

But they do need to take into the consideration the things you ask them to look at -- the RFS impact on the economy, on infrastructure, on air quality, water quality, employment, et cetera, et cetera, and to be honest with you, I think that the EPA, in setting standards year after year after year, it's quite burdensome to the staff and it takes a lot of their resources to do that -- that they otherwise are not helping their constituents to try to register and do things correctly under the program.

So make -- somehow make it a more simple program and easier to administer.

Mr. Flores. Would you agree that the ecosystem becomes much less transparent and much cloudier? I mean, there's no certainty whatsoever after 2022 for pretty much every player in this market, whether you're a farmer growing the corn or whether you're a refiner, even an automaker, because you don't know what kind of fuels you're going to have. Would you agree that --

Ms. Dunphy. I would tend to agree that there's a lot of

1490 concern as to what will happen to the program after that point 1491 in time. 1492 Mr. Flores. All right. So everybody is -- since 1493 uncertainty is bad for the market, to the extent that Congress 1494 can help create that certainty we are better off, I would think. 1495 Does anybody disagree with that? 1496 Second question -- Mr. Lavinsky, you know, government 1497 -- as you pointed out, government policy -- and Mr. Niznik said 1498 the same thing is that government policy impacts RIN prices. 1499 Can you distinguish -- give me examples of how government 1500 policy has impacted RINs prices versus how government policies 1501 impacted any other energy commodity? You can pick the one that 1502 you're most comfortable with. 1503 Mr. Lavinsky. Okay. Well, I mean, policy, I guess, with 1504 biofuels, with, certainly, the mandates. I mean, the mandates 1505 of government policy and they -- you know, according to the RFS 1506 they're supposed to go up every year and as they go up it requires companies to do more blending. 1507 Mr. Flores. Okay. 1508 1509 Mr. Lavinsky. And, you know, that has an impact on RIN prices. With regard to the other types of programs, you know, 1510 1511 I am part of a larger group that includes a team on emissions. 1512 I probably would refer that question to them and provide you 1513 with a full answer. 1514 Okay. Yes, if you can answer that Mr. Flores.

1515	supplementally that would be great.
1516	Mr. Yacobucci, what impact, if any, has the RIN market and
1517	RIN prices had on advanced biofuel production?
1518	Mr. Yacobucci. The I think it's probably worth turning
1519	that question around a little bit and I think it's more important
1520	to say that the RIN market has for cellulosic fuels has
1521	responded to EPA's repeated reductions in that part of the
1522	mandate.
1523	So you have these different subcategories the cellulosic
1524	biofuel category, which was originally scheduled in the statute
1525	to be the lion's share 16 billion gallons out of the 36 billion
1526	gallons that would be required in 2022. But each successive year
1527	EPA has made very drastic reductions in
1528	Mr. Flores. And that's because we don't have a way to
1529	produce it. Yes, I mean
1530	Mr. Yacobucci. Because
1531	Mr. Flores the technology is not there. But have RIN
1532	prices helped to encourage advanced biofuel production?
1533	Mr. Yacobucci. I would refer to my colleagues, if they may
1534	comment.
1535	Mr. Flores. Okay.
1536	Mr. Niznik. Yes.
1537	Mr. Flores. Mr. Niznik, they have? Okay. All right.
1538	It looks like I am down to three seconds so I am going to
1539	yield back the balance of my time. Thank you.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1540	Mr. Shimkus. The chair thanks the gentleman.
1541	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Michigan, Mr.
1542	Walberg, for five minutes.
1543	Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to the
1544	panel for being here.
1545	And Ms. Dunphy, I refuse to ask you the question that
1546	Representative Olson left for me to ask you about Verlander and
1547	Cy Young.
1548	[Laughter.]
1549	It just goes on and on, the discussion today. As a
1550	theologian, thinking through the Creation story I don't remember
1551	that in any of the seven days that the RIN was created. I will
1552	have to go back to the fall of Man the story there and see
1553	if that was the forbidden fruit. We'll see what happens.
1554	But Ms. Dunphy
1555	Ms. Dunphy. The RIN was right after the mosquito.
1556	[Laughter.]
1557	Mr. Walberg. Right after the mosquito. Okay. I will
1558	check that out, too.
1559	According to EPA, since December of 2010 it has issued 37
1560	notices of violations regarding RIN fraud. A couple of years
1561	ago, EPA issued regulations creating a quality assurance program
1562	aimed at cleaning up some of the RIN fraud problems.
1563	Do you believe that the quality assurance program has done
1564	a good job of eliminating the problems?
	NEAL R. GROSS

1565 Ms. Dunphy. I think it's done a very good job. But it is 1566 a voluntary program. A producer has to be willing to participate 1567 in the program as an auditor. 1568 We are available to producers but they contact us to say 1569 hey, I want to participate in the program. It's not an across 1570 the board program. 1571 As much as Weaver would love that, because we'd get more 1572 work, but it's on a case by case basis, basically, and I think 1573 the QAP has helped reduce RIN fraud, yes. 1574 Mr. Walberg. Well, are there then other areas of RIN fraud 1575 that need to be addressed that are missing now? What might you 1576 propose to address this? Ms. Dunphy. You know, I think that probably the easiest 1577 1578 is the export of renewable fuels for which RINs are not retired and I know that EPA is working hard with Homeland Security to 1579 1580 look closer at exports to determine if they contain renewable 1581 fuel. 1582 Mr. Walberg. Okay. The RIN market is different than any other commodity trading markets. Some have suggested that 1583 1584 installing a governing structure to oversee it would help increase 1585 transparency and decrease fraud. 1586 How much buyer beware still exists in the RINs marketplace? 1587 I think there's still quite a bit, especially

for the advanced biofuels. As those transactions are being

negotiated, it's the only transactions where the seller must tell

1588

1590 the buyer who the renewable fuel producers are of the RINs, whereas 1591 on D6 or corn ethanol RINs it's pretty much everyone will accept 1592 them. 1593 So the companies have blocked lists in EMTS. This is 1594 probably something we haven't talked about. But refiners doing 1595 their due diligence have the option to block certain producers 1596 and so therefore RINs from those producers can't come into their 1597 EMTS account and they use their -- this feature of EMTS after doing due diligence on the marketplace on the producers. 1598 1599 Mr. Walberg. Added to that, would a governing body be 1600 helpful and why? 1601 I do believe it probably would be helpful. Ms. Dunphy. 1602 But it would be an enormous task to set up a RIN training platform 1603 that was administered by either EPA or another body because there 1604 are different vintages of RINs. There's the different producers So it would 1605 of RINs and there's different RIN codes themself. 1606 be somewhat complex, but it's possible, I guess, and it would 1607 be helpful. 1608 Mr. Niznik, I saw you respond to that. Mr. Walberg. Would you like to add something here? 1609 1610 I would like to add, for the record, since this Mr. Niznik. 1611 is a public record and it should be true, that I am a member of the state of Texas also and please pass on a note to Mr. Olson 1612

to that effect, that he had more representation here than he

thought before.

1613

1615 I would agree that there have been multiple attempts, I must 1616 say, to put RINs on trading boards, both the Chicago Mercantile 1617 Exchange and its previous incarnations and then the International 1618 Commodity Exchange -- ICE. 1619 Both Argus and, I believe, Platts have participated in trying 1620 to be paper benchmarks -- to try to be a benchmarkable RIN that 1621 you could trade as a future and I would say, without speaking 1622 for Mr. Lavinsky's company, that it hasn't been particularly 1623 successful in the private sector to attempt to do something that 1624 would assure some sort of broader transparency on a board-traded 1625 level -- commodity level. 1626 Okay. Mr. Walberg. 1627 Ms. Dunphy, I see I have eight seconds left. So I would 1628 probably better not open it up. But I thank you. 1629 I yield back. 1630 Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time. 1631 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. 1632 Carter, for five minutes. 1633 Mr. Carter. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank all of you for being here today. I appreciate it, although I will 1634 1635 have to admit it is as clear as mud. I mean, it's pretty tough 1636 sitting her trying to figure out is this really necessary. 1637 I mean -- I mean, seriously. Ms. Dunphy, you look like you 1638 want to answer that. 1639 [Laughter.]

1640	Ms. Dunphy. Trying to figure out how to answer that
1641	questions fairly diplomatically, and keep my job yes.
1642	Mr. Niznik. We make a lot of money because of this complex
1643	
1644	Mr. Carter. Yes, I know. I mean, it's just
1645	Mr. Niznik. Thank you. By the way
1646	Mr. Carter if we created something just to
1647	Ms. Dunphy. Yes.
1648	Mr. Carter just to create something.
1649	Ms. Dunphy. The more complex the regulations the more work
1650	we have.
1651	Mr. Niznik. Yeah, my kids are my kids are going to go
1652	to college because of you all. Thank you.
1653	Mr. Carter. I just you know, I think at the end of the
1654	day Thoreau is I think Thoreau is just turning over in his
1655	grave right now, just yes. Simplify, simplify, simplify, and
1656	I am just trying to figure out.
1657	But in all seriousness, I will ask questions about it. I
1658	am just interested, Mr. Yacobucci, you mentioned in your testimony
1659	that there's been concerns with RIN generation since the program's
1660	inception and that, you know, that there's duplicate counting
1661	and fraudulent RINs on the market.
1662	How do you how can it be manipulated? I mean, it just
1663	appears to me I don't really understand how you can manipulate
1664	it.

Mr. Yacobucci. To the first question, I will say yes, there have been concerns going forward. EPA's stated goal of when they came out with the RFS 2 and the EMTS was to address some of those questions.

Whether or not the current system has succeeded, you know, it's still an open question. One of the things is -- to your original question, is it necessary, if you want a market-based system you do need a market. There are simpler systems you could come up with. But they may not provide the flexibility that a market does. So that's a trade-off.

In terms of your question about how fraud can occur, I think
Ms. Dunphy has gone through a list of some of those topics. I
wouldn't speculate on ways going forward folks could do it.

But, certainly, the ways that EPA has listed are production that has not actually happened where basically a company has just on paper said they have produced fuel that they haven't produced, companies that have produced fuel that doesn't actually qualify because of the feedstock requirements but they've attested that it has, and companies that have exported fuel that's otherwise not eligible because in any case where a fuel isn't eligible those RINs need to be retired and if they're not retired then, you know, those RINs are invalid.

Mr. Carter. Okay. Well, you mention in your testimony also that there are two markets. There's a primary market and there's also a secondary market, and that secondary market, as I

1690	understand it, that's where the owners buy and sell the excess
1691	RINs.
1692	Mr. Yacobucci. Correct.
1693	Mr. Carter. What can the secondary market impact the
1694	primary market?
1695	Mr. Yacobucci. I would believe so but I will refer to my
1696	market folks.
1697	Mr. Niznik. We don't tend to look at it as primary and
1698	secondary markets. The RINs are all traded sort of evenly. They
1699	don't have any distinguishing characteristics that would, you
1700	know, attach them to other markets.
1701	So from a price reporting agency standpoint, it's they're
1702	the same market.
1703	Mr. Carter. Can they have an impact on the price of fuel?
1704	Mr. Niznik. They do, yes. So, in fact, we report an
1705	aggregate cost of RINs as it would be affecting any gallons sold
1706	in the United States. We call it the RVO per gallon cost.
1707	Currently, that's around 4 cents per gallon of petroleum and fuel
1708	sold.
1709	Mr. Carter. Hmm. Okay. Yes, sir.
1710	Mr. Lade. However, if I could speak to that
1711	Mr. Carter. Please.
1712	Mr. Lade it's also so that is the cost on the
1713	gasoline blended into fuel. However, almost all fuel in the
1714	United States contains 10 percent ethanol and RIN is actually

1715 reducing the cost of that ethanol. 1716 So as RIN prices rise, that tax or the RVO cost on the gasoline 1717 is nearly exactly offset by the reduction in the costs on the 1718 ethanol side. And so consumer prices for almost all fuel that's 1719 consumed in the United States, which is 10 percent ethanol, 90 1720 percent gasoline, remains large -- by and large, unaffected. 1721 Mr. Carter. Okay. Good. Well, thank you. I appreciate 1722 that. Ms. Dunphy, I want to ask you because I represent the entire 1723 1724 coast of Georgia. So ocean-going vessels are of interest to me 1725 and you -- I know you had a discussion with another member about 1726 ocean-going vessels and about the renewable fuel that's used in 1727 those. 1728 And I just wanted to ask you, can you explain to me why it's necessary to retire RINs when it's -- when it comes to fuel for 1729 1730 an ocean-going vessel? 1731 Ms. Dunphy. It's a bit of a mystery to all of us, to be 1732 honest with you. But, you know, transportation fuel is gasoline 1733

It's motor vehicle, locomotive, marine fuel in the U.S.

If you put it in an ocean-going vessel, that's usually going to be a higher sulfur fuel like a bunker fuel, and that was not a transportation fuel. So, therefore, even though you put in a renewable fuel and it's displacing a high-sulfur product, the RINs were to be retired. That was part of the regulations.

1734

1735

1736

1737

1738

1740	Mr. Yacobucci. Which are which were driven by the
1741	statutory definitions in the
1742	Ms. Dunphy. Right. It's in the law. It's in the law.
1743	Mr. Carter. Do we need to change the statutory definition?
1744	Ms. Dunphy. It's in the law.
1745	Mr. Yacobucci. Basically, you have three categories of fuel
1746	that qualify. Highway transportation fuel, heating oil, and jet
1747	fuel are basically the three fuel components or three fuel
1748	categories that qualify to generate RINs. All other fuel
1749	categories are exempted by the statute.
1750	Mr. Carter. Brilliant.
1751	Mr. Chairman, I yield.
1752	[Laughter.]
1753	Mr. Shimkus. Just yield, not surrender, right?
1754	The chair now recognizes the very committed, patient, and
1755	long-suffering Mr. Loebsack. If he was on a good subcommittee,
1756	he could have spoken an hour ago. But he's waiving on, has great
1757	interest in this. You're recognized for five minutes.
1758	Mr. Loebsack. Well, thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you
1759	for letting me waive on, and quite honestly, as a former professor,
1760	I would have loved the testimony.
1761	I've been trying to read as much as I can but, unfortunately,
1762	as a political science professor, not an economics professor.
1763	But I am an alum of Iowa State an alumnus of Iowa State
1764	so I am actually going to pick on you today. How do you pronounce

1765 your last name again? 1766 Mr. Lade. Lade. 1767 Lade. Okay. Thank you so much. Mr. Loebsack. 1768 This is an incredibly complex subject. There's no doubt 1769 about it. As I said, I was a political science professor, not 1770 an economics professor. So this stuff is not easy for me, I have 1771 to say. 1772 But I do want to say at the outset that recent comments by 1773 EPA Administrator Wheeler the last couple days have been pretty 1774 disheartening and were not reassuring to those of us from biofuels 1775 country, if you will. 1776 Some of the things that he said continue the policy of Administrator Pruitt, whether it has to do with waivers or on 1777 1778 the E15 issue or whatever the case may be. 1779 I just want to say that for the record at the outset that 1780 I am not very encouraged by the new administrator when it comes 1781 to biofuels production. 1782 But, you know, I think I will talk to Mr. Carter later. 1783 He had a good point -- you know, do we really need all this, in 1784 some ways. 1785 Actually, I could be wrong but I think the quickest and easiest way to address RIN prices would be if the obligated parties 1786 1787 simply would blend more biofuels and I think that the RIN system 1788 was created in the first place because there are going to be folks 1789 who didn't want to blend biofuels.

1790 Is that -- is that correct, basically? Create a market for I mean, if we just simply blended more biofuels we wouldn't 1791 1792 have to worry about RINs. Is that correct, Professor Lade? 1793 The entire purpose of the market is -- or of the Mr. Lade. 1794 policy is to get the market to do something that it would not 1795 do without the policy. And so yes, whenever RIN prices are high 1796 that signals that the market would not do -- would not do or would 1797 not blend as much biofuels as it would without the policy. 1798 Mr. Loebsack. Right. Thank you. 1799 You know, also we could remove current EPA restrictions on 1800 the Reid vapor pressure. I think we could do that and get more 1801 biofuels into the system. And I did look at your testimony, Professor Lade. 1802 1803 a little bit confused with the final part of it there when you 1804 talked about what effect E15 might have on all of this. 1805 sort of elaborate that a little bit? 1806 Mr. Lade. Absolutely. 1807 So it's really difficult to say what an E15 and RVP waiver 1808 would do to RIN prices because we are not quite sure of what it would do to overall biofuel demand. 1809 1810 If consumers begin to switch over to E15 quite a bit, then it would absolutely put downward pressure on RIN prices. However, 1811 1812 we -- this is a new fuel. Consumers are uncertain about whether 1813 they can even put it in a lot of their vehicles.

And so there are a lot of reasons to believe that there would

1815 maybe be some limited -- even with year round offering at some 1816 fuel stations there would be limited consumer demand on that side, 1817 which means not that much extra biofuels or ethanol is going into 1818 the system, which would not resolve this RIN price problem. 1819 Mr. Loebsack. Right. 1820 And so while it's certainly, potentially, over Mr. Lade. 1821 the long run and if E15 infrastructure were to roll out and 1822 consumers, as they learned that this was a viable fuel -- that 1823 it's something that they could put into all of their cars if it's 1824 priced competitively, that could certainly have that intent. 1825 In the short run, I have my doubts. But, again, you know, 1826 this is in uncertain territory because this is a new fuel that 1827 we really don't know much about. 1828 Mr. Loebsack. Well, I am going to ask you to project on 1829 -- a little bit more with respect to E15 because when you were 1830 talking about RIN prices and their effects on gas prices, you 1831 were talking about E10. 1832 Can you speculate at least some with respect to E15? 1833 So when RIN prices rise, like I said, Mr. Lade. Correct. 1834 the higher cost on gasoline is nearly fully offset by the lower 1835 cost on ethanol as RIN prices are increasing. 1836 As you increase the percentage of ethanol in that gasoline, 1837 that lower cost on ethanol actually starts to drive the retail 1838 prices down. This is exactly how the market-based mechanism is 1839 going to put more biofuel blending into the system.

1840 And so you will see, as RIN prices rise, greater and greater 1841 discounts on E15 relative to E10 and that's exactly how you get 1842 consumers to move their hand over about 15 inches and fill it 1843 with E15 instead. 1844 Right. Mr. Loebsack. Right. And so RINs are vital to stimulating that demand 1845 Mr. Lade. 1846 and so that's where it's kind of difficult to predict that. 1847 depends how responsive consumers are and how quick they are to switch over. 1848 1849 Mr. Loebsack. Got it. 1850 And then one last question -- as we all know, in response 1851 to refiner complaints about RINs, EPA has secretly issued 48 small 1852 refinery waivers, and I know there's some question about this. 1853 I am glad I came when that was being addressed by Ms. Dunphy. 1854 And some of the refiners are neither small nor experiencing 1855 economic hardship. These secret waivers, you know, one can argue about what the effects of them but some would argue up at 2 billion 1856 1857 gallons of demand destruction and cutting the consumption by over 1858 2 billion gallons. 1859 What do you think the impact of all this might have on RIN 1860 prices then? 1861 Mr. Lade. I think we've seen the impact that it's decreased 1862 RIN prices as it necessarily -- if these allocations are not 1863 reallocated it necessarily means that less biofuels are needed 1864 to meet the requirements from EPA.

1865 Mr. Loebsack. Well, I want to thank all of you and thank you, again, Mr. Chair, for letting me waive on. 1866 1867 Mr. Shimkus. Well, next time get on a better committee and 1868 you can question earlier. 1869 Mr. Loebsack. I will do the best I can. It'll help me for 1870 November. 1871 Mr. Shimkus. So we've been asked to do a second round of 1872 questions. Is -- I hope you don't mind that. I think the folks 1873 who are here, obviously, are interested in addressing that. 1874 So with your permission, the folks here would go a second 1875 We don't think it'll take that long. So I want to thank round. 1876 Mr. Tonko for agreeing to that. So I will recognize myself for five minutes for a second 1877 1878 I want to make sure we clarify this small refinery 1879 exemption, because what I am hearing is that they're blending 1880 already at 10 percent. This exemption comes at the end. 1881 So my question is -- maybe Ms. Dunphy -- what's the remedy? Is there a remedy for these small refiners? If they've been 1882 1883 blending most of the year, they get the exemption, what do they 1884 Throw a party? I mean, what do they -- what do they get 1885 for that? Ms. Dunphy. Well, if they've already retired RINs then 1886 1887 they'll seek EPA's permission to get those RINs back again, and

It has replenished the RIN bank, okay. So remember, as Mr.

as we've talked about, that does come back into the market.

1888

1890 Yacobucci pointed out, the oil companies can use 20 percent prior year RINs to satisfy their current year compliance. 1891 1892 The RIN bank had declined. It got down to as low as 9 1893 So that means there's more pressure on current year 1894 to have the RINs and get them in your hand. 1895 As the RIN bank has been replenished, because these small 1896 refinery exemptions have been granted, the number of prior year 1897 RINs comes back into the market and replenishes the RIN bank 1898 overall, reducing the concerns that we won't be able to meet this 1899 year's compliance. 1900 Mr. Shimkus. All right. Thanks. 1901 The spike that was talked about -- we talked about high prices, high volumes. But I think another factor was there was 1902 1903 -- in the EPA there was increased regulation in that year also and, you know, increased regulation increases higher prices. 1904 1905 So there was -- there was there was three variables that I just 1906 wanted to make sure we put on the table. 1907 The-there's proposals floating out there on a RIN cap. 1908 would that do to this market? Anyone want to address that? 1909 Mr. Niznik. It would cause Senator Chuck Grassley to 1910 explode. 1911 [Laughter.] 1912 Mr. Loebsack. And Congressman Loebsack as well. 1913 [Laughter.] 1914 Mr. Niznik. But also it would just end the market

1915	functionality that Professor Lade talked about and it would,
1916	certainly, impair the ability of RINs to do some of the functioning
1917	that they've been talking about doing in terms of stimulating
1918	production and having the marketplace respond to the volumetric
1919	requirements that the EPA would put out each year.
1920	If it just was kept at 5 percent, it wouldn't matter if
1921	it wouldn't matter whether EPA asked for more or less.
1922	Mr. Shimkus. Right. Dr. Lade.
1923	Mr. Lade. So I would
1924	Mr. Shimkus. Quickly.
1925	Mr. Lade. Quickly, I would push back a little bit on that.
1926	It depends critically what the RIN price cap is because that
1927	then determines how much of a discount you can give to biofuels
1928	and how much of an incentive you can give to biofuel consumption.
1929	
1930	So if a RIN price cap is 10 cents, then absolutely no one's
1931	going to use E85 or E15 mostly likely, given market prices.
1932	Mr. Shimkus. Right.
1933	Mr. Lade. However, if a RIN price cap is set 60 cents to
1934	a dollar, all else being held equal in this regulation, that could
1935	serve a very stabilizing role because what we've seen is that
1936	EPA has responded to high RIN prices by adjusting mandates and
1937	that's led to a lot of this volatility.
1938	If, instead, EPA said no, we will cap RIN prices at this
1939	level and you can come to us to buy paper credits after RINs on

1940 the private market have reached above this level, they no longer 1941 need to use that option to adjust RIN prices through mandates, 1942 which has led to a lot of volatility. So --1943 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you. Let me go -- let me go, because 1944 I've got two minutes or a minute and a half. I've got three 1945 questions. 1946 Mr. Yacobucci, engines -- you talk about engines and that's 1947 a part of your world. What is the most efficient engine as far 1948 as octane? Do you know? 1949 Mr. Yacobucci. So, I mean, there's a lot of discussion about 1950 this right now. To get to your question, if one were to increase 1951 the compression of engines, you could squeeze out more efficiency 1952 from that engine. 1953 Mr. Shimkus. What would be Iran? 1954 Mr. Yacobucci. You know, there's a lot of discussion for 1955 that but, certainly, a higher octane number fuel would allow for 1956 greater efficiency if you tuned the engine to do so. I mean, 1957 if you just put higher octane fuel in --1958 We are talking about -- I Mr. Shimkus. Right. No. No. 1959 mean, part of our debate -- and Mr. Flores with me -- as you get your best engine engineers, you get your best petrochemical 1960 1961 engineers, and you create the most efficient engine for a 1962 next-generation vehicle, because I think that's kind of what we 1963 are --

Mr. Yacobucci. But there's a trade-off between the energy

1965 content. For example, ethanol has a lower energy content. So even if you're burning it more efficiently you may be getting 1966 1967 fewer miles per gallon versus the cost to the engine. 1968 Mr. Shimkus. I am not -- you're the smart guy -- but that's 1969 not what we were being told with the engineers and the engineering 1970 of a high-compression high-octane engine. 1971 Let me ask also -- I wanted to address, in my seven seconds 1972 remaining -- there is also a proposal out there that talks about a D8 RIN, which would be above the blend wall. 1973 1974 Anyone hear that and what's your thoughts on that? 1975 Niznik. Mr. Niznik. Yes, I investigated that for a company that 1976 1977 asked me to research the concept, and it would change the current 1978 shape of the RFS but it would overcome one of the internal hurdles 1979 of the RFS -- blocking expanded ethanol usage. 1980 So if you -- right now, I confused the group before talking 1981 about how the RIN from ethanol right now is essentially capped 1982 at the price of the next category up RIN because of the 1983 replaceability. 1984 If you took it out from underneath that umbrella and that 1985 cap and gave it its own category the RINs price mechanism has 1986 proven very efficient in other categories, forcing those fuels 1987 into the marketplace. 1988 So if you wanted to provide an opportunity specifically for 1989 one type of fuel and you made a new RIN category just for that

1990	type of fuel and put a mandate on it, I am convinced that, based
1991	on market history, you could get the amount blended you wished.
1992	Mr. Shimkus. And my time is way expired. I appreciate my
1993	colleagues. The chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr.
1994	Flores, for five minutes.
1995	Mr. Flores. I am assuming the ranking member doesn't
1996	Mr. Shimkus. I am sorry.
1997	Mr. Tonko. Dr. Lade, yes.
1998	Dr. Lade, in your written testimony you state that volatility
1999	isn't always caused by speculators and that volatility in a market
2000	can attract speculators, presumably, because all the fluctuations
2001	present or present opportunities for profit.
2002	You also indicate that EPA's management of the program
2003	including delays in setting RVOs are sources of volatility in
2004	the RIN market.
2005	Is that accurate? Is that
2006	Mr. Lade. Correct.
2007	Mr. Tonko. Okay. What about the changing headlines
2008	following the efforts of the White House, several senators, and
2009	some RFS stakeholder discussions about reforming the RFS?
2010	Would those headlines have any effect on RIN prices?
2011	Mr. Lade. Likely, if they believe that that could lead to
2012	credible changes in the mandates coming out of EPA. And so what
2013	really the market is trying to do is figure out how much extra
2014	biofuel they need to push in, particularly beyond the E10 blend

2015 wall because that's where all the real costs come into this. 2016 It's much more expensive to push ethanol and biodiesel and 2017 be on this E10 blend wall and that's where the market is trying 2018 to guess is how much they need extra beyond that. So to the extent 2019 that some news organization reports that the mandate may be increasing or decreasing that would certainly have that impact 2020 2021 as you're trying to guess what's going to come out. 2022 Mr. Tonko. Okay. Thank you. 2023 In your testimony, you discuss several studies that looked 2024 at the potential effect of RIN prices on bulk wholesale and retail 2025 prices for fuel. 2026 Refiners claim they cannot pass high RIN prices on to their 2027 But these studies suggest that they can. 2028 Mr. Lade. Correct. 2029 The discussions in your written testimony seem 2030 to -- seems to conclude they often can but it isn't a certainty. 2031 Would you please explain the potential reasons why a refiner 2032 might not be able to pass on high RIN costs? 2033 So the studies that speak to this look Mr. Lade. 2034 particularly at bulk wholesale fuel prices. So those that are 2035 on large traded commodities markets and a lot of those serve as 2036 benchmark prices throughout the entire wholesale system. 2037 However, there could certainly be differences in, say, at 2038 blending stations and wholesalers when you're blending in 2039 Illinois, Iowa, New York, et cetera.

2040 And so there are certainly studies beginning to look at RIN 2041 pass through to those to see if the same thing that you see on 2042 these larger tradeable market are really translating down to the 2043 markets that refiners may be selling into and so far it's still 2044 inconclusive on that. 2045 However, the -- so far, the academic literature has continue 2046 to find these prices being reflected in wholesale prices. 2047 Mr. Tonko. Okay. Thank you. With that, I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2048 2049 Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time. The chair 2050 now recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Flores, five minutes. 2051 Mr. Flores. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the 2052 second round of questions. 2053 One of the other takeaways that I didn't mention in my first 2054 round of questions was that I think each of you agree that ethanol 2055 has a place to play in the fuel markets of the future, primarily 2056 because of the octane and some of the environmental 2057 characteristics that it has, and that's the reason that Mr. 2058 Shimkus, Mr. Welch, and I are working on legislation that doesn't 2059 adversely impact the ethanol markets. 2060 One of the things that I've heard from different constituent 2061 groups is that there are some disruptions in the biodiesel market 2062 because of the way the D4 ran as embedded in the nesting structure.

to know what feedback you all have in terms of the market impact

This is somewhat subjective on your part but I would like

2063

2065	on D6 prices if we were to de-nest D4s and have D4s trade totally
2066	be useable only for the biodiesel market.
2067	If you feel comfortable commenting on that I would like to
2068	know what your thoughts are.
2069	Mr. Niznik. I am an old biodieseler myself so I can
2070	Mr. Flores. I am glad you're from Texas, too.
2071	[Laughter.]
2072	Mr. Niznik. Thank you, sir. So the D4 RIN really isn't
2073	a victim to any other RIN. It's the 500-pound gorilla RIN right
2074	now.
2075	Mr. Flores. Right.
2076	Mr. Niznik. So it's behavior right now is unfettered and
2077	the historical record shows that it does a really great job.
2078	In fact, if there's one RIN that's working in the RFS, it's the
2079	D4 RIN.
2080	Mr. Flores. Right.
2081	Mr. Niznik. So when it goes up just a little bit, the market
2082	signals blend more and producers produce more and it works great.
2083	But when you have the nested structure, if you have a
2084	particular interest in other fuels working and they're underneath
2085	there, then no, it's not as good.
2086	So I would suspect that if you designed a system of separate
2087	unnested RINs that
2088	Mr. Flores. Just D4, though.
2089	Mr. Niznik. Yes.

2090	Mr. Flores. I am just talking about D4.
2091	Mr. Niznik. You're just talking about D4s. I don't think
2092	it would change at all for the D4s. I think they would continue.
2093	Under the current circumstances, they move very freely with
2094	the exception of worrying about the recurring dollar a gallon
2095	biodiesel tax credit, which is outside of the RFS system but,
2096	again, a policy thing.
2097	Mr. Flores. How would the D6 RIN change if we de-nested
2098	the D4?
2099	Mr. Niznik. Well, then perhaps it could rise to the occasion
2100	that it needs to incentivize infrastructure, usage in fuels.
2101	We don't really know how high a D6 RIN needs to be to, for
2102	instance, inspire more E15 use or even E85 use because under the
2103	time of the program it's always been butting heads against the
2104	D4 price, right. So we've never seen a free shot at it.
2105	I've done research, for instance, on E85 usage, which has
2106	a lot of ethanol in it. But every time I did it, again, I couldn't
2107	say hey, what would happen to this. I didn't have any market
2108	data on where the customers needed to be incentivized.
2109	Mr. Flores. Mr. Lavinsky, do you have any thoughts on this
2110	issue?
2111	Mr. Lavinsky. Not that has
2112	Mr. Flores. Okay.
2113	Mr. Lavinsky. He's covered it well.
2114	Mr. Yacobucci. I would just add that, and Mr. Niznik can

2115	correct me if I am wrong, but that the biodiesel RIN drives
2116	because it's the majority of the advanced biofuel RINs, the D5
2117	RINs, that it drives that market.
2118	If you took it outside of the advanced biofuel pool then
2119	there would certainly be some effects there because that is the
2120	primary supply for the advanced biofuel as well.
2121	So it depends on whether you mean de-nesting it from the
2122	overall mandate, the D6 mandate, or whether you mean taking it
2123	out of the advanced biofuel pool.
2124	Mr. Flores. Okay. That's a good point. Okay. That's
2125	something we as policy makers need to keep in mind.
2126	Ms. Dunphy, any thoughts on that?
2127	Ms. Dunphy. Well, today, because of the nesting, when
2128	there's a shortage of D6 RINs versus the mandate, that his
2129	fulfilled with higher other types of RINs advanced biofuel
2130	RINs.
2131	So if you look at it from that perspective, that total
2132	renewable fuel category is dominated by corn ethanol.
2133	Mr. Flores. Mm-hmm.
2134	Ms. Dunphy. But if there's not enough D6 RINs to fulfil
2135	it then that category gets filled with advanced biofuel RINs,
2136	which are greater greenhouse gas-reducing fuels
2137	Mr. Flores. Mm-hmm.
2138	Ms. Dunphy which is kind of the overall objective of
2139	the law is to have greater greenhouse gas-reducing fuels.

2140 So you would lose that added volume that the biodiesel --2141 the D4 RINs fulfil in that D6 space. So there's some demand loss 2142 there if they don't have that space to grow into. 2143 Mr. Flores. Dr. Lade. 2144 I would just like to reiterate that was Mr. Lade. Yes. 2145 my main point was that you would have demand loss on the biodiesel 2146 side because you wouldn't be producing more of it to compensate 2147 for the lack of D6 RINs. 2148 So that you're over meeting these mandates for the D4 in 2149 order to fill in what you can't push through with E85 or E15 right 2150 Taking that out would remove that option and make the market now. 2151 meet -- pass the blend law with E85 or E15 instead. 2152 Just -- and, again, hypothetically, what would 2153 happen to diesel prices if you de-nested the D4 and set it aside 2154 by itself? 2155 The RFS is borne -- the price of the RFS 2156 compliance of RINs is borne equally in the marketplace by either 2157 gasoline or diesel. 2158 So the -- even if they do, for instance, pay more to blend 2159 one particular biofuel and one type of petroleum versus another 2160 -- let's say ethanol biodiesel -- they tend to, on the petroleum 2161 cost side, aggregate all the costs and pass it through evenly. 2162 That's the -- that's what the historic price data shows. 2163 Mr. Flores. Okay. Thank you. My time is expired. 2164 I yield back.

2165 Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time. 2166 The chair thanks the gentleman. Before we conclude, my 2167 wife's family farm is in South Dakota. 2168 So I was up there for 4th of July -- a family reunion --2169 and then took a drive to North Dakota and then back through South Dakota and probably one of the weirdest guys that would take a 2170 2171 picture of every retail location that I filled up with gas. 2172 And what's instructive there is that they have -- yes, I 2173 know, it's said --2174 [Laughter.] 2175 And I have them. I can show them to you. They're right 2176 But, you know, and we always got to remember retailers, 2177 I mean, this is the whole debate and there are pumps in 2178 the -- in the Dakotas that have E0 because of motorcycle riders 2179 and boaters and stuff. 2180 So but the delta price difference for the same level is, 2181 like, 30 cents cheaper because of the ethanol -- the depression 2182 of prices because it's a cheaper mix. 2183 But there's just so many things that we got to think about. 2184 I will show you those pictures, if anybody wants to see them. 2185 I do the same thing. I have the same hobby. Mr. Niznik. Mr. Shimkus. You are sick. 2186 2187 Ms. Dunphy. And I did the same thing last weekend on the 2188 way to San Antonio and took a picture of the Buc-ee's pumps. 2189 Mr. Shimkus. There you go. Thank you.

2190 [Laughter.] 2191 Seeing there are no further members wishing to ask questions 2192 or make stupid statements for the panel, I would like to thank 2193 all of our witnesses again for being here today. 2194 Before we conclude, I would like to remind members interested 2195 in the RIN fraud issue that I would point you to letter two, the 2196 Subcommittee on Oversight Investigations hearing transcript on 2197 RIN fraud. 2012? Thank you. And a -- and I also would like to ask for unanimous consent 2198 2199 to submit the following documents for the record: the letter 2200 from Renewable Fuels Association and then the Subcommittee on 2201 Oversight and Investigation hearings on the -- the transcript on RIN fraud. 2202 2203 [The information follows:] ************************************ 2204

2205	Mr. Shimkus. And pursuant to committee rules, I remind
2206	members that they have 10 business days to submit additional
2207	questions for the record. I ask that witnesses submit their
2208	responses within 10 business days upon receipt of the questions.
2209	Without objection, this subcommittee is adjourned.
2210	Thank you very much.
2211	[Whereupon, at 11:13 a.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]